Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/596,268	PERRY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher R. Lea	1619
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	
1) Christopher R. Lea.	(3)	
2) William Teoli.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 March 2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-44</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g)☐ was not reached. I	n)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gen reached, or any other comments: <u>There was some con</u> <u>amended</u> was to be used for prosecution of the instant would be prosecuted.	fusion as to which set of cla	ims from the PCT (original or
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the an allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be atta	no copy of the amendments	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFIC NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to SIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONG NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ILLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE II equirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has a SER OF ONE MONTH OR T INTERVIEW SUMMARY FO	ready been filed, APPLICANT IS HIRTY DAYS FROM THIS DRM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO